Triangulating Research Design

Specifications of differences in overall design between experimental and ethnographic research do not preclude legitimate sharing of data collection strategies

LOGOL THE AVE	<u> </u>	
D		
F	•	
		1
		7
		,
(w)		
, K	14,	
۱ <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	J	
	-	
1 4 m		
, `		
L		
·····		
		4
1		
1 ·		
		-
· • •		
·		
		-
		-
<u></u>		-
<u></u>		
<u> </u>		
<u>}</u>		-
<u>-</u>		
<u></u>		
-		1
	. 	
		۲ ۲ ۴
	<u></u>	- - -
		4
		1
		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
	. 	
		- - - -
	- • • • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		4
		- - - -
	<u></u>	1
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
		1
		L

highly publicized discrepancy between two ethnographers' studies of the same Mexican village (i.e., Lewis, 1951; Redfield, 1930) as a consequence of the differences in their research designs. Redfield and Lewis addressed different issues, used different 114 stand time actinds and alisited assessments from different comments of the

1		
1.		
	1	
<u>.</u>		
-		
-		
opulation.	Their studies were conducted from different, unexplicated world views	
	er ers a subscription of the second state and the s	
f		
- (
		_
ı		
ı		
, 		
، 		
, 		
, 		
, 		

information acquired from participants in group contexts. Their study indicates that what people say and do varies according to others present at the time.

Delineation of the physical social and interpersonal contexts within which data

are gathered enhances the replicability of ethnographic studies. To an extent, these factors are subject to change over time. What may be a center for informal gathering among one group of high school seniors, for example, may be anathema to the succeeding class. Consequently, descriptions of contexts should include function and structure as well as specification of features.

Analytic constructs and premises. Even if a researcher reconstructs the relationships and duplicates the informants and social contexts of a prior study, replication may remain impossible if the constructs, definitions, or units of analysis which informed the original research are idiosyncratic or poorly delineated. Replication requires explicit identification of the assumptions and metatheories that underlie choice of terminology and methods of analysis. For example, the culture concept is defined differently by different researchers. Some use it globally: Linton (1945) identified it as the way of life of a people. Others prefer to define culture more narrowly in terms of Observed_heavier (e a_Harris_1971). Some wirtually derementate culture exists

independently as an analytic construct, preferring to examine the minute-by-minute interactions by which shared meanings are negotiated among individuals and small groups (e.g., Furlong, 1976; Gearing, 1973, 1975).

If defined idiosyncratically in a study, major organizing constructs such as these

Internal Reliability

	Problems	of interna	l reliability	in ethnogra	phic studie	s raise the	question of	
i						.		
	<u>s</u> ≯				<u> </u>		_	
<u>حر</u>	6 .	E.						
i t								
-11								
3								
1-								
-								
-								
• <u>-</u>								
-								
. <u> </u>								
-			۹	ſ				
Â.	1	un mari in						
		Ŷ	ia-	•			7m	
ـ ـ								
<u> </u>								
<u>.</u>								
7 <u> </u>								
{								

	phenomena rarely remain constant. The ethnographic task is to establish which baseline data remain stable over time and which data change (LeCompte & Goetz, in press). Such change may be recurrent, progressive, cyclic, or aberrant; sources of change and their operation also need to be specified (Appelbaum, 1970; Lofland, 1971). This is facilitated by sustematio-replication and comparison of baseline data
ţ.	
<u> _</u>	
F	
¥.	
-	
	•
-	
_ <u>₽</u>	
**	
, s _	analogous to the pretest data collected by experimenters
÷.	**************************************
1 - 1	
1	

Unusual observer effects (discussed above as informal social experiments) also may threaten the validity of ethnographic studies. Contrivance effects may distort data gathered; this obtains in situations where the ethnographer plans and executes

5	
some exceptional act in order to elicit responses from sul violate the research ethics of <u>participant</u> consent (cf., e.g.	bjects. Such strategies may , Denzin, 1978; Jorgens <u>en,</u>
1971; Rynkiewich & Spradley, 1976), although inadverte less controversial than deliberate manipulations and do pr on norms and sanctions. Here the researcher must estab	nt faux pas and gaffes are ovide valuable information lish that it is the act itself
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	
<u></u>	
• •	
• •	
	-
	- -
	- -

	<u>implicitly in the categories an investigator chooses as salient for analysis and coc</u>
_	
	of ethnographic data, regardless of whether participant-derived categories or searcher-designated constructs are used. Researchers with different theoretical ba grounds may choose to focus on quite different aspects of the data. The strate
	diopposed above for enhancing the reliability of analytic constructs and premises
F.	
_	
	for ensuring the internal reliability of ethnographic studies also contribute to o trolling and managing observer analytic biases. Of these, participant reaction
	confirmation—conducted through all levels of the ethnographic process—may most effective in revealing researcher-induced distortions (Wax, 1971). Selection and regression. In experimental research, control of selection and reg
_	

Because most ethnographers study characteristics and behavior of human groups rather than the effects of specific treatments, ethnographic subjects are chosen for relevance to specific interests. Glaser and Strauss's (1967) use of theoretical sampling—collecting data chosen for relevance to emerging theoretical constructs—is one purposive strategy for implementing this process systematically (for alternative forms of purposive sampling, see Patton, 1980). Following successful access to and entry into particular groups, methodical sampling assures that data adequately represent the population being investigated. Such sampling may take the form of cross-informant interviewing for confirmation and validation of interviews, structured questionnaires, or findings derived from participant observation across the spectrum of subgroups and factions. These strategies are as useful for ensuring external validity as they are for internal validity: if cross-group comparisons are to be credible, they must be grounded in accurate data from individual groups.

Mortality. The ways in which groups change over time as a result of losses and gains in membership pose special difficulties for ethnographers. Although experimenters may replace subjects who are lost from their studies, ethnographers assume

and participants. Loss and replacement as they naturally occur become topics of

and participants. Loss and replacement as they naturally occur become topics of study in themselves. Growth and attrition are assumed to be normal processes in

	retrospectively All plausible causes are delineated by examination of collected data
1	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	<u>₽</u>
-	
*	
1	
_	
•	
	i
·	
<u>i</u>	
-	
-	
<u></u>	
-	
	depends on elimination of alternative explanations (Campbell, 1979). Denzin (1978)
	lishment of time order, covariance, and elimination of rival hypotheses. He assesses
	participant observation as excellent, good, and fair, respectively, on these three
	factors. Elimination of rivel explanations mandates control of factors threatening internal
	validity. It also requires effective and efficient retrieval systems for ethnographic data

- Gearing, F. O. Overview: A cultural theory of education. Anthropology and Education Quarterly, 1975, 6(2), 1-9.
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research. Chicago: Aldine, 1967.
- Goetz, J. P. Configurations in control and autonomy: A microethnography of a rural thirdgrade classroom (Doctoral dissertation, Indiana University, 1975). Dissertation Abstracts President 1076-26 63754 (University Migrofilme No. 76 6375)

<u>.</u>	
	4
	1
	-
- Carter T. D. Can cale supreme in Data Flamouter-Calearly Charge and tendition	in the averal
	<u> </u>
۲	
_	
- · ·	
τ, π .	
_	
۸ 	
t	
transitional South In R T Sieher & A I Gordon (Eds.) Children and their	organizations:
	-
۱ <u>, </u>	
* <u></u>	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

- Leemon, T. A. The rites of passage in a student culture: A study of the dynamics of transition. New York: Teachers College Press, 1972.
- Lewis, O. Life in a Mexican village: Tepoztlan restudied. Urbana, Ill.: University of Illinois Press, 1951.
- Linton, R. The cultural background of personality. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1945.
- Lofland, J. Analyzing social settings: A guide to qualitative observation and analysis. Belmont, Calif.: Wadsworth, 1971.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_ · _ ,
X		
	~	
		1
*1		1
		(
ł		
		i
in the second se		
; b //		
4 144		
F		
1		
·		<u> </u>
ι		
- .	*	
<u></u>		
		1
F Par 1977 17 261 203		
: •		
5		
<u></u>		
- Me 197		
,		{
		į
t		
4 e		
2		1
		ł
And a set the set of t		
Á.		4
n1-^m		{
1		1



War	ren,	R.	L.	Edu	cation	in .	Rebh	auser	i: A	Ger	man	village.	New	York:	Holt,	Rin	ehart,	&
W	inst	on,	196	7.														
<u></u>			/1		117	J.	1.		J		1.1	n_LL.		.		.	J	. . .

-	
<u> </u>	
f	
-	
,	
τ	
_	
<u>.</u>	
-	
·	
educat	ors and the community. New Brunswick, N.J.: Transaction Books, in press
educat	ors and the community. New Brunswick, N.J.: Transaction Books, in press.
educat	ors_and the community. New Brunswick, N.J.: Transaction Books, in press.
educat	ors and the community. New Brunswick, N.J.: Transaction Books, in press
<u>educat</u>	ors and the community. New Brunswick, N.J.: Transaction Books in press.
educat	ors and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books in press.
	ors and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books in press.
	ors and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books in press.
	ors_and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books_in press.
	ors_and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books_in press.
	ors_and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press.
	ors_and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press.
	ors_and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press.
	ors_and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press.
	ors_and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press.
	ors_and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press.
	ors_and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press.
	ors_and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press.
echucat	ors_ond the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press.
	ors_and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press.
	ors_ond the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press
	ors_ond the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press
	ors_ond the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press
	ors_ond the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press
	nrs_and the community. New Brunswick. N.J.: Transaction Books. in press.