## Electronic Structure of "Sequence Mutations" in Ordered GaInP<sub>2</sub> Alloys

T. Mattila, Su-Huai Wei, and Alex Zunger National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, Colorado 80401

(Received 27 May 1999)

The electronic consequences of layer thickness fluctuations in CuPt-ordered  $GaInP_2$  (layer sequence Ga-In-Ga-In...) are investigated. We show that the formation of a "sequence mutated" Ga-In-In-Ga... region creates a hole state h1 localized in the In-In double layer, while the electron state e1



FIG. 3. The EPM calculated band edge energies for  $(\text{CuPt})_N$ -V2- $(\text{CuPt})_N$  structures (*N* is the thickness of CuPt region surrounding V2 region). The energies are in meV. The LDA-calculated band offset between CuPt and V2 for conduction band minimum (valence band maximum) is 150 (56) meV, confirming the type-II alignment predicted by EPM. For N = 2 the LDA-calculated value for  $\Delta = h1 - h2$  is 80 meV, verifying the EPM predicted appearance of h1 level above h2. The lower panel shows the e1, h1, and h2 wave functions squared for the N = 5 system.

insensitivity to the presence of Ga-Ga segment is expected, since the Ga-Ga double layer acts as a barrier (Fig. 3), and thus does not play an important role for the band edge localization. The lowest conduction state e1 remains localized in the CuPt region as shown in the lower panel of Fig. 3, and its energetic position approaches the conduction band minimum of CuPt as the thickness N of the CuPt region surrounding V2 is increased. The important observation emerging from Fig. 3 is that the occurrence of a sequence mutation in the form of an In-In layer in the CuPt structure creates a spatially *indirect, low-energy* transition (from e1 to h1), in addition to the spatially direct, excitonic transition (from e1 to h2).

To see the strength of various transitions, Fig. 3 shows the calculated dipole matrix elements  $p = \langle \psi_{e1} | \hat{p} | \psi_{h1,h2} \rangle^2$  between these states, normalized with respect to the e1-h1(band gap) transition in pure CuPt. Since the e1-h1 transition is spatially indirect, we find that its transition probability is smaller than for the spatially direct transition e1-h2. However, the e1-h1 smaller  $\eta \sim 0.5$  [7]. To mimic this situation, the In layers are replaced by random  $In_{0.5+\eta/2}Ga_{0.5-\eta/2}$  layers and the Ga layers are replaced by random  $In_{0.5-\eta/2}Ga_{0.5+\eta/2}$  layers. Our calculations show that when  $\eta = 1$  is changed to  $\eta = 0.5$  the *h*2 (CuPt-confined) state energy drops by 53 meV, while *h*